

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/669,529 09/25/2003 Yoshihisa Kiyotoki 5149 24956 09/10/2004 EXAMINER MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. KEASEL, ERIC S 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 370** ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 3754

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1: -4: - N		1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\	
Office Action Symmetry	10/669,529	KIYOTOKI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Eric Keasel	3754	_	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, its maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	lv 2004			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allower		secution as to the mer	ite ie	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	x parto quayro, 1000 C.D. 11, 10			
4) Claim(s) 7-13 and 16-26 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 7-13 and 16-26 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>10/083,355</u> .				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 140. <u>107003,333</u> .				
application from the International Bureau	•	a iii tiilo Mational Otage	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d.		
Attachment(s)	<u></u>			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) D Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/2003</u> .	6)	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Application/Control Number: 10/669,529

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

1. The restriction requirement is withdrawn. All claims are examined below.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 7-13 and 16-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,330 in view of Matsui et al. (US Patent Number 4,648,308). Claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,330 disclose all the limitations of claims 7-13 and 16-26 of the present application except for the insert metal layer used with the diffusion bonding. Matsui et al. disclose the use of a metal insert layer in a similar device that is made by diffusion bonding. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the metal insert layer of Matsui et al. with claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,330 in order to improve mechanical characteristics, such as bonding strength, fatigue strength, and high temperature strength as taught by Matsui et al. (see column 4, lines 54-61).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,529

Art Unit: 3754

4. Claims 7-13 and 16-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of

Page 3

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-25 of copending

Application No. 10/270,515. Claims 14-25 of copending Application No. 10/270,515 disclose

the limitations set forth in claims 7-13 and 16-26 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric Keasel whose telephone number is (703) 308-6260. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric Keasel

Patent Examiner

Luc Reasel 75EP2004

Art Unit 3754